

Solano CoC NOFO Renewal Scoring Tool 2024

Threshold Criteria

(Required but not scored. If “no” for any threshold criteria, the project is ineligible.)

Item	Maximum Available Score
HMIS Implementation: Projects that do not participate in HMIS are not eligible for funding, unless the project is a victim-service agency, serving survivors of domestic violence, or a legal services agency. Victim-services agencies must utilize a comparable database to HMIS and be able to produce de-identified aggregate data.	N/A
Housing First: the project identifies and lowers its barriers to housing in line with a Housing First approach.	N/A
Coordinated Entry: Projects that have not agreed to participate in Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type, are not eligible for funding. Victim-service agencies or those serving survivors of domestic violence shall participate with Coordinated Entry while protecting client data and safety to ensure fair and equal access to the coordinated entry process and housing and services opportunities.	N/A
Equal Access and Non-Discrimination: The project ensures equal access to program participants regardless of their race, color, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, familial status or disability. The project complies with all federal and state civil rights and fair housing laws including the Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and the Equal Access Rule.	N/A
Match: The agency has committed to match 25% of the grant except for leasing funds.	N/A
Financial Management and Audit: the project maintains adequate internal financial controls, record maintenance and management, and has provided an up to date (within last 21 months) audited financial statement, and single audit (if applicable).	N/A

Scored Criteria

Item			Maximum Available Score	
1	Program Performance and Client Outcomes		55	
1a	HOUSING STABILITY	Permanent Supportive Housing	Rapid Re-Housing	
1a	<p><i>Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Re-Housing:</i> The percentage of project participants* that achieve housing stability in an operating year, by remaining in permanent housing or exiting to permanent housing.</p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3, 7</i></p>	15 pts.** 98-100% 14 pts. 94-97.9% 13 pts. 90-93.9% 11 pts. 86-89.9% 9 pts. 82-85.9% 7 pts. 78-81.9% 5 pts. 75-77.9% 3 pts. 72-74.9% 1 pt. 70-71.9% 0 pts. <70%	15 pts.** 90-100% 14 pts. 85-89.9% 13 pts. 80-84.9% 11 pts. 75-79.9% 9 pts. 70-74.9% 7 pts. 65-69.9% 5 pts. 62-64.9% 3 pts. 59-61.9% 1 pt. 55-58.9% 0 pts. <55%	

*Project participants for all housing stability measures exclude deceased clients.

**For criteria based on performance outcomes data, information is collected from the most recent APR from grant years ending in 2022.

1b	NON-CASH MAINSTREAM RESOURCES	
1b1	<p>Permanent Supportive Housing: The percentage of participants that obtained or maintained one or more non-cash mainstream resources at annual assessment or project exit.</p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measures 4</i></p>	<p>Non-Cash Mainstream Resources at Follow-up/Exit:</p> <p>10 pts.** 60-100% 5 pts. 30-59.9% 1 pt. 15-29.9% 0 pts. 0-14.9%</p>
1b2	<p>Rapid Re-Housing: The percentage of leavers that obtained or maintained one or more non-cash mainstream resources at project exit.</p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measures 4</i></p>	<p>Non-Cash Mainstream Resources at Exit:</p> <p>10 pts.** 60-100% 5 pts. 30-59.9% 1 pt. 15-29.9% 0 pts. 0-14.9%</p>
1c	HEALTH INSURANCE	
1c1	<p>Permanent Supportive Housing: The percentage of participants that obtained or maintained health insurance at annual assessment or project exit.</p>	<p>Health Insurance at Follow-up/Exit:</p> <p>10 pts.** 83-100% 5 pts. 60-82.9% 1 pt. 30-59.9% 0 pts. 0-29.9%</p>
1c2	<p>Rapid Re-Housing: The percentage of leavers that obtained or maintained health insurance by project exit.</p>	<p>Health Insurance at Exit:</p> <p>10 pts.** 83-100% 5 pts. 60-82.9% 1 pt. 30-59.9% 0 pts. 0-29.9%</p>
1d	UNIT UTILIZATION	
1d1	<p>For Projects Serving Single Adults in Shared Housing: Successes in achieving full utilization for PSH, RRH, and TH-RRH projects that serve single adult households in units that have more than one bed are best measured by looking at the number of beds in use on the last Wednesday of each quarter, divided by the total number of beds promised in e-snaps.</p> <p>For Projects Serving Adults in Non-Shared Housing and/or Families: Successes in achieving full utilization for PSH, RRH, and TH-RRH projects that serve adults in non-shared units or families are best measured by looking at the number of units in use on the last Wednesday of each quarter, divided by the total number of units promised in e-snaps.</p>	<p>Average Unit Utilization Rate:</p> <p>10 pts.** 90-100% 9 pts. 80-89.9% 8 pts. 75-79.9% 7 pts. 70-74.9% 6 pts. 65-69.9% 5 pts. 60-64.9% 4 pts. 55-59.9% 3 pts. 50-54.9% 0 pts. <50%</p>

1e	<u>OBTAINED OR MAINTAINED CASH INCOME SOURCES</u>	
	<p><i>Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Re-Housing:</i> The percentage of participants that obtained or maintained one or more cash income sources at annual assessment or project exit.</p> <p><i>HUD System Performance Measure 4</i></p> <p>(Adults with any cash income at exit or at a timely annual assessment) ÷ (The number of living adults - the number of adult stayers not yet due for an annual assessment)</p>	<p>Cash Income Sources</p> <p>10 pts.** 85-100%</p> <p>9 pts. 70-84.9%</p> <p>8 pts. 50-69.9%</p> <p>7 pts. 45-49.9%</p> <p>6 pts. 40-44.9%</p> <p>5 pts. 20-39.9%</p> <p>4 pts. 15-19.9%</p> <p>3 pts. 10-14.9%</p> <p>2 pts. 5-9.9%</p> <p>1 pt. 2-4.9%</p> <p>0 pts. 0-1.9%</p>

2	Finances, Administration, and Compliance	45
2a	<p>Client Feedback Process:</p> <p>1) Please select all the strategies for integrating client feedback the agency and/or project uses (2 points for each option selected, up to 4 possible):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The project has a resident or client advisory board. • There is representation of someone(s) with lived experience on the agency’s leadership and/or board. • Strategies exist to recruit, retain, and develop staff who are reflective of the communities being served (e.g. race, ethnicity, experience of houselessness, disability, experience with the criminal legal system, experience in foster care, etc). • The project has a process for annually collecting feedback from clients. <p>2) How is client feedback and lived experience meaningfully integrated into the design and operation of the project? Use specific examples where possible, including any substantive changes to project design or service delivery that were made within the agency (5 pts possible).</p>	13
2b	<p>Monitoring Findings:</p> <p>Projects that have received no findings by HUD, or else timely submitted a response, if requested, to a HUD finding will receive 5 points.</p>	<i>No outstanding findings: 5pts</i>

2c	<p>Grant Spenddown: The percentage of awarded funding drawn down or invoiced for the grant term (10 points) <i>Note: lost points can be recovered by reallocation of the unspent amount, (e.g. a project that spends 75%, and reallocates 20% results in an effective spend rate of 95%, which would be awarded 7 total points).</i></p>	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>10 pts.</td> <td>≥90%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7 pts.</td> <td>≥80%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 pts.</td> <td>≥70%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 pt.</td> <td>≥60%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 pts.</td> <td><60%</td> </tr> </table>	10 pts.	≥90%	7 pts.	≥80%	5 pts.	≥70%	3 pt.	≥60%	0 pts.	<60%
10 pts.	≥90%											
7 pts.	≥80%											
5 pts.	≥70%											
3 pt.	≥60%											
0 pts.	<60%											
2d.	<p>Ensure privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity (Panel Discretion) Award up to 4 points based on the extent to which the agency ensures privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects. Do not just describe general measures you take to keep clients safe.</p>	<p>Panel Discretion: up to 4 points</p>										
2e	<p>(HMIS) Data Quality: The percentage of data points that are recorded as missing, don't know, client refused to answer, and/or unable to calculate. Lower percentages are better. <i>Contributes to System Performance on HUD System Performance Measures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 by improving data quality.</i></p>	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>< 5% error</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5-9.9%</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10-14.9%</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Greater than or equal to 15% error</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table>	< 5% error	5	5-9.9%	3	10-14.9%	1	Greater than or equal to 15% error	0		
< 5% error	5											
5-9.9%	3											
10-14.9%	1											
Greater than or equal to 15% error	0											
2f	<p>Low Barrier: (i) 2 points: The project will not disqualify applicants based on information discovered through a credit check or a check for eviction history. (ii) 1 point: The project will not disqualify applicants for reasons related to experience of domestic violence (lack of a protective order, period of separation from abuser, law enforcement involvement, etc.). (iii) 1 point: The project does not conduct criminal background checks for applicants or participants. (Note: for projects serving households with minor children, a point will still be awarded if sex offense status is checked through Megan's Law, rather than a criminal background check.) <i>HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3</i></p>	<p>4</p>										
2g	<p>Racial Equity: Select all of the methods of advancing racial equity and cultural competency that your agency has implemented (1pt each option, total 4 possible):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Written materials and translation services are available in multiple languages for participants with limited English proficiency. 	<p>4</p>										

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Racial equity and cultural responsiveness knowledge, skills and practices are part of staff job descriptions and workplans. • Internal structures exist to address issues of racial equity and cultural responsiveness (i.e., formal or informal complaint resolution process, community advisory body, equity committee) • Staff receive training and support around racial equity and cultural responsiveness and their role in addressing racial inequities. • Ongoing evaluation of policy, service of program impacts and progress towards racial equity and cultural responsiveness • The agency’s board and leadership are reflective of the racial and ethnic demographics it serves. • Other (please list): 	
Total Points Available:		100